

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/633,584	SIVILOTTI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin P. Kerns	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin P. Kerns.

(2) Christopher Dunham, applicants' attorney.

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 January 2004

Time: 1:55 pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

35

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An amendment to claim 35 was proposed and agreed upon, in order to obtain further clarification with regard to claim language of the nozzle assembly within a twin belt casting environment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kevin P. Kerns 1/30/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)